

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/026,101	12/21/2001	Mark Birkhcad	G07.006	7491
28062 7590 01/24/2007 BUCKLEY, MASCHOFF, TALWALKAR LLC			EXAMINER	
50 LOCUSTAVEN	NUE	KAR LLC	AKINTOLA, OLABODE	
NEW CANAAN, CT 06840			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PE	RIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
31 DAYS		01/24/2007	PAPER	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/026,101	BIRKHEAD ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
-	Olabode Akintola	3691					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	•						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 De	ecember 2001						
<u> </u>							
·=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	•					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)	•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
3)							

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Invention I: Claims 1-21 are drawn to a method, executable instructions and apparatus for facilitating responses to credit request, classified in class 705, subclass 39.

Invention II: Claim 22 is drawn to a computer-implemented method for facilitating responses to requests for increased credit limit, classified in class 705, subclass 39.

Invention III: Claim 23 is drawn to a method for facilitating responses to credit requests including the steps of automatically generating a response to the request and if the response is not an approval, determining if the response will be a referral, classified in class 705, subclass 39.

Invention IV: Claim 24 is drawn to a method for facilitating responses to credit requests including the steps of locally determining if a response to the credit request is a denial; and if it is not a determined that the response is a denial, automatically transmitting information to a credit rating service, classified in class 705, subclass 39.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions (I) - (IV) are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. These combinations are independent if it can be shown that (1) they are not

disclosed as capable of use together, (2) they have different modes of operation, (3) they have different functions, or (4) they have different effects. (MPEP 806.04, MPEP 808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions have different modes of operation and different functions/effects.

Since these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art, there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

A telephone call attempt was made on January 17, 2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Art Unit: 3691

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olabode Akintola whose telephone number is 571-272-3629. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM -5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OA

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER